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Introduction

Pain is an unpleasant physical and emotional sensation due to actual or potential tissue damage or described in terms of such damage. Acute pain is a symptom of an underlying disease. However, when it becomes chronic, and exceeds three months duration due to certain reversible and irreversible changes in the nervous system, it becomes a disease by itself. Most acute pains are nociceptive due to some form of tissue damage. However most chronic pains are secondarily neuropathic due to the above-mentioned changes, which are collectively called sensitization.\(^1,3\)

Chronic pain is a disease by itself. It should be recognized as a non-communicable disease (NCD). If we look at its global incidence, chronic pain is the commonest NCD. Additionally, it has been more recently hypothesized that chronic pains and other NCDs are closely linked through a pathological process called metaflammation. The hallmark of metaflammation is that it is the result from environmental inducers and unhealthy lifestyles which activate the immune system that in turn damages various organ systems. Metaflammation has been linked to the occurrence of atherosclerotic diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Alzheimer’s disease, inflammatory bowel disease and some neuropathic pains which are interlinked.\(^4,7\)

Objectives

Due to a paucity of data on the prevalence of chronic pain in Sri Lanka, we aimed to carry out a demographic survey through a point prevalence study of pain related complaints among general medical outpatient clinic attendees of a Provincial General Hospital.

Methodology

Permission was granted by the Medical Director to carry out a descriptive study at the Provincial General Hospital, Badulla. We used a pre-validated questionnaire that was pilot tested on a sample group of 25 volunteers from among unskilled hospital support staff.

Trained intern medical officers of the medical unit collected data by questionnaire based interviews of the first 40 follow up patients attending each medical clinic held on consecutive working weeks. Inclusion criteria were regular medical clinic attendees who were presenting as follow-up patients, while all new patient referrals were excluded. There were 14 medical clinics during the study period (24/01/2012 – 03/05/2012).

A total of 560 consenting subjects were interviewed. Out of these 24 data sheets were discarded due to incomplete data caused by communication barriers. The final sample consisted of 536 patients.

Results

Table 1 depicts the age stratification, distribution of gender and chief system of affection among the systematically recruited sample of adult follow up patients in the medical clinic of the Provincial General Hospital, Badulla over a period of 12 consecutive working weeks. There was a female preponderance with female: male ratio of 2:1. The predominant systems affected among patients were of the ‘nervous system’ and ‘musculo-skeletal’ systems, as determined by trained junior doctors who followed a structured evaluation of clinical history and case notes. A total of 357 (67%) had pain as their chief complaint. However, when pain as a symptom, but not the chief complaint, was also included to the analysis chronic pain afflicted 391 (73%) of the total sample of clinic follow ups.

Commentary

This data provides a clear picture that most of outpatient clinic attendees have chronic pain as a major cause of seeking hospital based clinic treatment. It is noteworthy that the majority of subjects interviewed were troubled by chronic pain arising from neurological and muscular-skeletal pathologies.

Despite possible selection bias in our patient sample that might have overestimated the problem, due to our unit’s commitment to and interest in treating the chronic pain, the data shows there is a sizeable...
Based on the findings of this survey, we established a model Comprehensive Pain Clinic (CPC) at the Provincial General Hospital, Badulla.

The existing diabetic center was used to conduct the CPC as it had all the resources required and also fell within the category of NCDs.

The floor plan of the CPC is described in Figure 1.

Management of chronic pain is based on a few key concepts

1. **Diagnosis:** The underlying etiology is essential as unplanned treatment of pain can lead to devastating outcomes by masking pain when it is the major presenting symptom of an underlying disease process.

2. **Assessment of pain:** is an important requirement as apart from the quality of pain being able to provide diagnostic clues, the quantification of pain is needed for chronic pain. Quantification is the key to escalate or de-escalate the treatment intensity and also to decide on the treatment modality.

3. **Timing:** of treatment is important for all chronic pains with minimal delay in order to minimize the development of otherwise difficult to treat neuropathic pain conditions.

4. **Co-morbidities:** many chronic pain conditions are associated with other NCDs. These conditions must be diagnosed and treated collectively. Additionally patients with chronic pain do develop psychological problems like anxiety and depression. Unless identified and treated holistically without delay, the pain issues can persist and become more complicated.

Based on the above targets we assessed, reassessed and managed our patients for their chronic pain, underlying diseases and co-morbidities.

The modalities we used to treat were

1. **Noninvasive interventions**
   a. Non pharmacological – e.g. Exercise and physiotherapy
   b. Pharmacological

2. **Invasive interventions**
   i. Interventional pain procedures – e.g. Joint / enthesis injections
   ii. Surgeries – e.g. Disc surgery for sciatica

How and when to combine these modalities was based on available guidelines (e.g. WHO analgesic ladder), available evidence and the local expertise and resources. Depending on the varying pain scenarios different combinations of the above named modalities were utilized.

The commonly encountered chronic pain problems in our dedicated CPC included:

1. Spinal pains (Neck and back pain)
2. Headaches and facial pain
3. Shoulder pain
4. Lower limb degenerative arthritis
5. Painful neuropathies
6. Enthesopathies like Golfer’s and Tennis elbows and plantar fasciitis
7. Carpal tunnel syndrome

The throughput of patient numbers and pain treatment and/or interventions required over a period of 25 months from our CPC records are depicted in Table 2.
Table 2. Patient numbers and treatment given at the CPC from 01st November 2012 to 30th November 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of patients (N = 2124)</th>
<th>Number of interventional pain procedures performed (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/2012 - 12/2012 (2 months)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>20 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/2013 - 12/2013 (12 months)</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>217 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/2014 - 11/2014 (11 months)</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>226 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (25 months)</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>463 (21.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment given

Non Invasive
- a. Non pharmacological 80%
- b. Pharmacological 100%

Invasive
- c. Intervventional pain procedure 20%
- d. Surgery 1%
Out of the 88 patients who attended the CPC in last 2 months of 2012, 20 (23%) underwent an interventional pain procedure. Similarly out of 1088 and 948 who attended the CPC in 2013 and 2014, 217 (20%) and 226 (23%) underwent interventional pain procedures respectively.

Therefore it is noteworthy that on average about 22% of the patients required an interventional pain management (IPM) procedure for pain relief. Hence, IPM must be considered as an integral and indispensable part of chronic pain management. However the majority (80%) of patients did not need any IPM procedure. This highlights what is required, not just an interventional pain clinic but an organized multi-disciplinary comprehensive pain clinic (CPC).

Our aim at the CPC is a holistic approach to the problem rather than an individualized approach. We consider the patient as a partner of the treatment process and make use of a group treatment approach rather than an individual patient care plan. The novel concepts of the holistic model and how they differ from the traditional model is highlighted in Table 3.

We so hope the concept of CPC would positively influence policy makers and planners on the current and emerging burden of chronic pain as an important NCD in parallel with what is experienced the world over. Even if we do not have our own outcome figures yet, we plan a re-audit. Studies around the world have demonstrated that almost 50% of conventionally treated patients require surgery or hospitalization compared to 16% – 17% of CPC patients.\textsuperscript{9,10} Annual medical costs of an outpatient service have been shown to be reduced by 68% following the establishment of a CPC service.\textsuperscript{9,10} Evaluating the average return to work rate from 20 different clinical studies demonstrates that on average 67% of CPC patients return to work compared to only 27% of non CPC patients.\textsuperscript{9,10}

Chief among the limitations we have encountered is the inability to implement a community based rehabilitation process. Therefore a national focus on the clinical burden of chronic pain and an effective and pragmatic program from within the existing health care service with recognition of the need for CPC with a multi-disciplinary approach is required in our national NCD program.
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Table 3. A comparison of the traditional and emerging models of chronic pain care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional (Dualistic) Model</th>
<th>Emerging (Holistic) Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical or psychological focus</td>
<td>Additional Social and environmental focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More clinician centered</td>
<td>More patient centered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient as recipient of treatment</td>
<td>Patient as a partner in treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual treatment approach</td>
<td>Individual and group treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distracts recipient from active management</td>
<td>Involves recipient in active self-management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for dependency/ complications</td>
<td>Limited dependency/complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited benefits for limited time</td>
<td>Significant, long term benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little or no attention to lifestyle</td>
<td>Significant attention given to lifestyle changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual health perspective only</td>
<td>Population health perspective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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